



THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

LUCKNOW

Petition No. 2186 of 2025

QUORUM

Hon'ble Shri Arvind Kumar, Chairman

Hon'ble Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Member

IN THE MATTER OF

Petition under Section 63 read with Section 86(1)(b) of the Electricity Act, 2003 and Regulation 15 of the UPERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2019 for approval of PPA and Supplementary PPA executed between Project Developers and UPPCL, and adoption of tariff discovered through Request for Selection (RfS) invited for procurement of power through tariff based competitive bidding process from grid connected solar plants connected to selected segregated agriculture feeder of distribution substation with cumulative capacity of 150MW for sale of power to UPPCL vide the PPA and SPPA through RESCO mode under Feeder Level Solarization of PM-KUSUM Component C2 Scheme.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF

1. U.P. New & Renewable Energy Development Agency (UPNEDA),

Vibhuti Khand, Gomti Nagar, Lucknow – 226010

2. Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Ltd. (UPPCL),

14th Floor, Shakti Bhawan Extension, 14 –Ashok Marg, Lucknow – 226001.

..... Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. M/s Purshotam Renew One Pvt. Ltd.

City Tower, 2nd Floor, Netaji Subhash Place, Pitampura, Delhi-110034

2. M/s RAVC Solution Pvt. Ltd.

404, Bhavya Corporate Tower, Vibhuti Khand, Gomti Nagar, Lucknow- 226010

by





3. M/s RAVC Green Energy Pvt. Ltd.

5/588, Vikas Khand, Gomti Nagar, Lucknow- 226010

4. M/s Sheel Facility Pvt. Ltd.

H.N. 666, Sector 38, Gurugram, Haryana-122001

5. M/s Sheel Minerals & Logistic Pvt. Ltd.

H.N. 666, Sector 38, Gurugram, Haryana-122001

6. M/s Starway Energy Pvt. Ltd.

29-A, Ranapratap Enclave, Victoria Park, Meerut, U.P. – 250001

7. M/s Sungrace Renewable Energy Pvt. Ltd.

H.N. 666, Sector 38, Gurugram, Haryana-122001

8. Shri Chandan Singh

H.N. 82, Sisona, Sisona-2, Rampur Sadar, Muzaffarnagar, Uttar Pradesh.

9. M/s Beruar Farmer Producer Company Ltd.,

C/o Deenanath, Vill – Karihara, Post- Berubari, Ballia, Uttar Pradesh- 277304.

10. M/s OMC Power Pvt. Ltd.

B-7, Vibhuti Khand, Gomti Nagar, Lucknow- 226010

11. M/s Mechtronik Technologies Pvt. Ltd.

701B Synergy, Near Vodafone House, Prahladhagar, Ahmedabad- 380015.


12. M/s Fairces Solar Energy Solutions Pvt. Ltd.,

C-1/426, Sector G, Jankipuram, Lucknow – 226021.

FOLLOWING WERE PRESENT

1. Sh. Divyanshu Bhatt, Advocate, UPPCL & UPENDA
2. Sh. Deepak Raizada, C.E, UPPCL
3. Sh. Rakesh Agarwal, SPO, UPNEDA

Signature



Signature



4. Sh. Swanand Vaidya, Consultant, UPNEDA
5. Sh. Aman Kumar, Consultant, UPNEDA
6. Sh. Sushant Gaur, Consultant, UPNEDA
7. Sh. Yash Joshi, Advocate, Purshotam Renew
8. Sh. Manish Tiwari, Advisor, FA Infra
9. Sh. Himanshu Singh, Advocate, Fairces Solar Energy
10. Sh. Arun Singh, Sr. VP, OMC Power Pvt. Ltd.

ORDER

(DATE OF HEARING: 01.04.2025)

1. The Commission, vide its earlier Order dated 18.03.2025, had allowed two weeks' time to Respondents to file their reply and one weeks' time to Petitioners to file their rejoinder thereafter. The Commission had also directed UPNEDA to furnish 'Bid Conformity Certificate'. On 26.03.2025, Respondent No. 9 & 10 filed their reply. On 28.03.2025, Respondent No. 1 & 8 filed their reply and UPNEDA filed its 'Bid Conformity Certificate'.
2. During the hearing on 01.04.2025, Sh. Himanshu Singh, Advocate of Respondent No. 12 sought one week time to file its reply in the matter. Sh. Divyanshu Bhatt, Counsel of Petitioner(s) pleaded for reserving the Order in the matter as the Commission had already allowed sufficient time to Respondents to file their reply. On specific query of the Commission about status of the remaining replies, Sh. Bhatt responded that remaining Respondents had served their applications through emails on the previous day wherein a week time was sought for filing Written Submissions. Further, in response to the Commission's query, Sh. Himanshu Singh submitted that he was also representing Respondent No. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 & 12 in the matter.
3. The Commission expressed its anguish that few Respondents were wilfully delaying filing of their reply, which is testing the patience of the Commission. Further, the Commission noted that Respondent No. 11 though present in the previous hearing dated 11.03.2025, had neither filed its reply nor was present in the present hearing

by.




3




without any information to the Commission. Sh. Bhatt submitted that issues raised in the replies, filed by Respondents, were on similar grounds.

4. After hearing the parties, the Commission allowed one weeks' time to the remaining Respondents barring Respondent No. 11 due to his unbecoming approach as stated in the previous paragraph of this Order. Further, UPNEDA was allowed to file its rejoinder to the Respondent's replies submitted till date.

List the matter for next hearing on 08.04.2025.


(Sanjay Kumar Singh)
Member

Place: Lucknow
Dated: 07.04.2025




(Arvind Kumar)
Chairman