



**THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
LUCKNOW**

Petition No. 2106/2024

QUORUM

Hon'ble Shri Arvind Kumar, Chairman

Hon'ble Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Member

IN THE MATTER OF

Petition filed under Section 86(1)(b) of the Electricity Act, 2003, and Article 14 of the PPA dated 12.11.2006 read with SPPA dated 31.12.2009 executed between the parties and in compliance of the Commission's Order dated 13.06.2023 in Petition No. 1884 of 2022 for reimbursement of expenditure incurred towards transportation of fly ash during FY 2022-23 and FY 2023-24 according to the Notification No. S.O. 5481(E) dated 31.12.2021 issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, GoI and the methodology prescribed by the Commission.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF

MEIL Lanco Anpara Power Limited

H.No. C/02/P7, Sector C Pocket 2, Sushant Golf City, Lucknow-226030

..... **Petitioner**

VERSUS

1. U.P. Power Corporation Ltd. (UPPCL)

Shakti Bhawan, 14-Ashok Marg, Lucknow-226001

2. Paschimanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam limited (PVVNL),

by



3



Urja Bhawan, Victoria Park, Meerut, U.P. – 250001

3. Purvanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited (PuVVNL),

DLW Bikharipur, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh – 221004

4. Madhyanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited (MVVNL),

4A, Gokhale Marg, Block-I, Gokhale V har, Butler Colony, Lucknow – 226001

5. Dakshinanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited (DVVNL)

Urja Bhawan, Agra Mathura Bypass Road, Agra, Uttar Pradesh - 282007

..... Respondents

THE FOLLOWING WERE PRESENT

1. Ms. Puja Priyadarshani, Advocate, UPPCL
2. Shri Risabh Bhardwaj, Advocate, UPPCL
3. Shri Shubham Srivastav, AE PPA, UPPCL
4. Shri Deepak Raizada, CE-PPA, UPPCL
5. Shri Jagnayak Singh, SE-PPA, UPPCL
6. Shri Aditya Pratap Singh, Advocate, MEIL/LANCO

ORDER

(DATE OF HEARING: 27.02.2025)

1. The Commission, vide previous order dated 19.11.2024, granted two weeks to the petitioner for submitting an IA to place additional invoices of FY 2023-24, while UPPCL was allowed four weeks to file its reply. The petitioner was further given four weeks to file rejoinder. Subsequently, UPPCL has submitted its reply on 21.02.2024. However, no IA has been filed by the petitioner.
2. During the hearing, Ms. Puja Priyadarshani, Counsel for Respondent, submitted that a detailed reply has already been filed in the matter. Shri Aditya Pratap Singh,

hsp





Counsel for Petitioner, submitted that the petitioner was in the process of collating invoices from contractors and sought permission to include them with the rejoinder. Ms. Priyadarshani contested above by stating that either the respondent may be directed to file a new petition to bring on record the new set of documents or proceedings may continue as per prayers made in the petition.

3. The Commission observed that adequate time had already been allowed to the petitioner and denied any further extension for submission of new documents in the current petition to alter the scope of petition. The Commission further observed that the petitioner may file a fresh Petition if it wishes to introduce new documents into the record. Additionally, the Commission directed the petitioner to submit its rejoinder to the UPPCL's reply within a period of two weeks.

List the matter on 15.04.2025.

(Sanjay Kumar Singh)

Member

Place: Lucknow

Dated: 04.03.2025



(Arvind Kumar)

Chairman

