



**THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
LUCKNOW**

Petition No. 2053 of 2023

QUORUM

Hon'ble Shri Arvind Kumar, Chairman

Hon'ble Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Member

IN THE MATTER OF

Petition under Section 86(I)(b) and Section 86(I)(f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Article 13 of the Power Purchase Agreement dated 21.11.2008 filed on behalf of Prayagraj Power Generation Company Limited seeking: (i) declaration of event of Change in Law due to mandatory use of treated sewage water by the Thermal Power Plants as per National Tariff Policy, 2016 and (ii) approval of Capex and Opex (presently in Rs/KL as per the Option-3 of the revised PFR submitted by Uttar Pradesh Jal Nigam) incurred due to mandatory usage of Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) treated water at door step of PPGCL.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF

Prayagraj Power Generation Company Ltd.,

Shatabdi Bhawan, Sector 4, Gautam Budh Nagar, Noida-201301

..... **Petitioner**

VERSUS

1. U.P. Power Corporation Ltd. (UPPCL)

'Shakti Bhawan, 14-Ashok Marg, Lucknow-226001

2. Madhyanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd. (MVVNL),

4-A, Gokhale Marg Lucknow.

3. Paschimanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd. (PVVNL),

Victoria Park, Meerut

4. Purvanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd. (PuVVNL),

DLW, Bhikaripur, Varanasi

5. Dakshinanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd. (DVVNL),

Urja Bhawan, 220KV U.P. Sansthan Bypass Road, Agra

by



m



6. Kanpur Electricity Supply Company Ltd.

14/17 Civil lines, KESA House, Kanpur,

..... Respondents

FOLLOWING WERE PRESENT


1. Shri Haroon Aslam, CE, UPPCL
2. Shri Ayush Singh, EE, UPPCL
3. Ms. Kavita Singh, SE, UPPCL
4. Shri Chetan Saxena, Advocate, PPGCL
5. Shri Anup Pitale, Lead (Reg.), PPGCL

ORDER

(DATE OF HEARING: 17.10.2024)

1. During the hearing, the Commission sought the clarification from PPGCL regarding the funding of capex to be incurred for construction of tertiary treatment plant and laying of conveyance main from Naini STP to Bara Project. In his response, Shri Chetan Saxena, counsel for PPGCL submitted that all the cost towards construction of TTP and conveyance main till the Bara Plant would be funded from government schemes.
2. The Commission observed there was contradiction in the submissions made by PPGCL in petition and rejoinder regarding funding of such capex and directed PPGCL to clarify the same during next hearing along with a written submission. The Commission directed UPPCL to make its submission, if any, related to 'Change in Law' aspect of the petition.

The matter is next listed on 12.11.2024.


(Sanjay Kumar Singh)
Member




(Arvind Kumar)
Chairman

Place: Lucknow

Dated: 25.10.2024