



THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

LUCKNOW

Petition No. 2103 of 2024

IN THE MATTER OF

Application under Section 63 read with Section 86(1)(a) & (c) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for adoption of Transmission Charges with respect to the Transmission System being established by Jewar Transmission Ltd.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF

Jewar Transmission Ltd.

2nd Floor, Niryat Bhawan, Rao Tularam Marg, Vasant Vihar,
Opposite Army Hospital & Referral, New Delhi – 110 057

Through its Authorized Representative

..... **Petitioner**

VERSUS

1. REC Power Development and Consultancy Limited

Core 4, SCOPE Complex, 7, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003

Through its Chief Executive Officer

2. Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited

Shakti Bhawan 14 Ashok Marg, Lucknow-226001, U.P.

Through its Chairman

3. Dakshinanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited

Urja Bhawan, NH-2 (Agra-Delhi Bypass Road), Sikandra,

Agra-282007, U.P.

Through its Managing Director

4. Kanpur Electricity Supply Company Limited

Headquarters, Kesa House, 14/71 Civil Lines,





Kanpur-209601, U.P.

Through its Managing Director

5. Uttar Pradesh Power Transmission Corporation Limited

Shakti Bhawan 14 Ashok Marg, Lucknow-226001, U.P.

Through its Chairman

6. Madhyanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited

Head Office 4-A, Gokhale Marg, Lucknow- 226001, U.P.

Through its Managing Director

7. Purvanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited

Vidyut Nagar, Bhikaripur, P.O – DLW, Varanasi-221010, U.P.

Through its Managing Director

8. Paschimanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited

Urja Bhawan Victoria Park, Meerut- 250001, U.P.

Through its managing Director

..... Respondents

THE FOLLOWING WAS PRESENT

1. Ms. Abiha Zaidi, Advocate, JTL
2. Ms. Priya Singh, Advocate, UPPCL
3. Sh. Alok Dubey, GM, JTL
4. Sh. Nitin Srivastava, PFCCL
5. Sh. Deepak Raizada, CE, UPPCL
6. Sh. Rakesh Kumar, SE, UPPTCL
7. Sh. Rajiv Singh, EE, UPPCL



by




ORDER

(DATE OF HEARING: 16.01.2025)

1. Ms. Abiha Zaidi, Counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner, submitted that the Petitioner seeks adoption of Levelized Transmission Charges of INR 795.50 million, as discovered through a Transparent Competitive Bidding Process, for the Intra-State "Construction of 400/220 kV, 2x500 MVA GIS Substation Jewar, 220/33 kV, 2x60 MVA GIS substation Cantt (Chaukaghat) Varanasi, 220/3,3 kV, 3x60 MVA GIS substation Vasundhara (Ghaziabad), 220/132/33 kV, 2x160+2x40 MVA Substation Khaga (Fatehpur) with associated line".
2. The Commission expressed displeasure with the Petitioner's approach of filing the petition. The Commission noted that the Petitioner had initially filed petition no. 2076 of 2024 for the approval of Transmission Charges on 27.03.2024, however, the same was withdrawn as the petition was replete with errors to the extent that there were host of incorrect Respondents in the array of parties. Despite the Commission highlighting these issues, the revised petition no. 2103 of 2024, filed on 27.06.2024, again contained similar errors.
3. The Counsel for the Petitioner admitted that the errors in the petition were due to mistakes in her office and requested the Commission to consider her responsible rather than penalizing the Petitioner. She submitted that affidavit dated 23.09.2024 was submitted before the Commission regarding change in the Cause Title & Memo of Parties to reflect the correct Bid Process Coordinator as PFC Consulting Limited (PFCCL). She also submitted a copy of the same before the Commission.
4. Ms. Priya Singh Counsel appearing on behalf of UPPCL, submitted that the petition served to them have several pages missing therefore, the Petitioner may be directed to serve the complete petition in all respect.
5. The Commission examined the petition and affidavit dated 23.09.2024 and observed that neither the petition nor affidavit dated 23.09.2024 was in order and the errors were still existing in galore. The content of the revised petition along with affidavit dated 23.09.2024 completely resembled a license application, with no reference to Section 63 of the Act or any relevant provisions except the prayer for the adoption of the tariff. Additionally, the Commission noted that certain pages were missing from the revised petition.

by





6. The Commission having taken note of all the issues and discrepancies highlighted above in the revised petition dated 27.06.2024 and affidavit dated 23.09.2024, expressed its displeasure and dissatisfaction. The Commission is of the view that the petition cannot be admitted even after submission of correction affidavit dated 23.09.2024 and hence in order to avoid the possibility of misreading/misjoinder and then apprehension of incorrect reply from the respondent the petition needs to be dismissed at this stage. The Petitioner is again directed to file a fresh petition in a proper manner, complete in all respect under the relevant provisions of Act. Further, the Commission directed the Petitioner Counsel to be cautious in filing a petition so that sacrosanctity of filing is maintained & such casual mistakes are not repeated in future.
7. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed.

(Sanjay Kumar Singh)
Member



Place: Lucknow

Dated: 31 .01.2025