



**THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
LUCKNOW**

Petition No. 2095 of 2024

QUORUM

Hon'ble Shri Arvind Kumar, Chairman

Hon'ble Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Member

IN THE MATTER OF

Petition under Section 86(1)(A) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with section 10 of the Uttar Pradesh Electricity Reforms Act 1999 read with Regulation 4.47 & 4.48 of the Electricity Supply Code, 2005 seeking approval of Terms of Supply of Electricity Inside Nation Capital Region Transport Corporation Ltd. Stations/Premises on franchisee basis.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF

National Capital Region Transport Corporation Ltd.,

(Through its Authorised Signatory), Gati Shakti Bhawan, INA, New Delhi-110023.

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

Paschimanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited,

(Through its Managing Director), Urja Bhawan, Victoria Park, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh – 250001.

.....Respondent

THE FOLLOWING WERE PRESENT

1. Deepak Agarwal, Chief Engineer, Gaziabad Zone-3, PVVNL
2. Shri Bashisth Muni Mishra, Advocate, PVVNL
3. Shri Md. Altaf Mansoor, Advocate, Petitioner
4. Shri Tanay Chaudhary, Advocate, Petitioner

by



3




ORDER

(DATE OF HEARING: 24.12.2024)

1. The Petition has been filed on behalf of National Capital Region Transport Corporation Ltd. seeking supply of electricity inside NCRTC stations/premises on franchisee basis from the Respondent Paschimanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd (PVVNL).
2. The Petitioner, a joint venture between the Government of India and participating State Governments under the administrative control of the Ministry of Housing & Urban Affairs, is responsible for developing the railway network in the National Capital Territory Region and implementing the Regional Rapid Transit System (RRTS).
3. The Commission, in its previous Order dated 14.11.2024, had pointed out that the decision in regard to the franchisee system for supply of power lied with the licensee. The Commission had also observed that once a dispensation had been provided by the Commission regarding the methodology to be adopted for supply of power in Petition No. 1698 of 2021 in the matter of DMRC, the Petitioner should have approached and persuaded the Licensee with his proposal and the Commission should have been approached, only if the consensus was not reached. The Commission, while admitting the Petition, granted four weeks' time to the Respondent to file counter affidavit, with additional two weeks to the Petitioner for filing a rejoinder.
4. During the previous hearing on 05.11.2024, the Commission had implicitly directed both the Petitioner and the Respondent to convene a meeting to resolve their outstanding issues and arrive at a conclusive decision. However, during the present hearing, it has been observed that no such meeting had been held for different reasons provided by both the parties.
5. In order to expedite the process, the Commission directed NCRTC to fix up a date for meeting with the Managing Director of PVVNL. Subsequently, both parties, after

by





taking some time, informed the Commission that a meeting has been scheduled for 06.01.2025. The Commission directed PVVNL to submit the Minutes of the Meeting before the Commission by 20.01.2025 and subsequently file the counter affidavit.

6. The next date of hearing is scheduled on 23.01.2025.

(Sanjay Kumar Singh)

Member

(Arvind Kumar)

Chairman

Place: Lucknow

Dated: .12.2024
02/01/2025

